

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/495,556	KUHRTS, ERIC H.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
Susan T. Tran	1615	

All Participants:

(1) Susan T. Tran.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Gary Oakeson.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 March 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

of record

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: to place this application in condition for allowance, it was suggested to 1) incorporating claims 56 and 57 into all independent claims; and 2) cancel all product claim. Applicant's attorney proposed 56 into all generic claims since soy oil is vegetable oil, and the use of the phrase "consist of" in all product claims. Applicant's attorney authorized the Examiner's Amendment..